

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/680,599 10/07/2003 Kimberly R. Gamble ML-02C2 5772 **EXAMINER** 24985 7590 12/17/2004 KENNETH S WATKINS JR FAYYAZ, NASHMIYA SAQIB 372 RIVER DR ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER DAHLONEGA, GA 30533 2856

DATE MAILED: 12/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
·	10/680,599	GAMBLE, KIMBERLY R.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	-Nashmiya SFayyaz	-2856
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed vs will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	—· s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or claim(s) are subject to restriction. 	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	its have been received. Its have been received in Applicat Ority documents have been receive Ority (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (RTO 902)	A) Theoreton Comment	(PTO 413)
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/7/03. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	·

Art Unit: 2856

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kath et al.- U.S. Patent # 5,945,070. As to claims 1-20, Kath et al disclose a filter tube assembly including a body (1/4) with a top and bottom portions and a reduced diameter chamber (5), a septum 12 at a top portion and a drip tube portion (the bottom portion of body 5 in which filter 6 is placed along with the widening of hole 5), note opening below filter 6, conical needle 15/16, processing chamber (bottom widening of hole 5) where it appears that the diameter of the chamber 5 and bottom opening are less than one-half of the diameter of the processing chamber, see Figs. 1-2. Further, it is noted that Kath et al does not specifically define a "drip tube portion". However, it is also noted that Kath et al appears to illustrate a portion that fits the description of the drip tube portion, therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have designated the bottom portion of the body 1/4 as a "drip tube portion" since it appears to be a matter of design choice to name it the drip tube portion and since the Kath et al is capable of meeting the claimed limitations. As to claims 2-3, designation of the diameters as well as their variation appears to be a matter of design choice that would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the

Art Unit: 2856

invention in order to vary the discharge rate. As to claims 4-5 and 18-19, the length of reduced diameter portion 4 which would extend through ribs 7 appears to meet the limitations. As to claims 6-7, any part of the bottom of body 4 can be defined as the "drip nozzle" such that the length variations can be met by Kath et al. As to claims 8-11, it appears that a bottom diameter is based on the size of the filter/frit and therefore it would appear that the size of the filter/frit openings meet the limitation of being less than one half or one fourth the diameter of the processing chamber. As to claim 12, note filter 6 for processing. As to claim 13, see claim 1 rejection above and note as the bottom opening (below filter 6) appears smaller than the "processing chamber" above, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have designated the bottom portion as a nozzle so that sample would converge. As to claims 14-15, the internal portion appears to be conical and the end diameter appears to be a matter of design choice obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have determined based on the flow required. As to claim 16, note ribs 7 which form a "conical guide". As to claims 19-20, note the associated method see col. 4, lines 20-62.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which

Application/Control Number: 10/680,599

Art Unit: 2856

Page 4

applicant regards as the invention. In claims 8-9, it is unclear how the bottom diameter differs from the bottom opening diameter of claim 1.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nashmiya S. Fayyaz whose telephone number is 571-272-2192. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays and Thursdays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hezron E. Williams can be reached on 571-272-2208. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

NFayyaz Examiner Art Unit 2856

nf 12/13/04

HEZRON WILLIAMS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800